MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES

YANG LO

1. Introduction

In the theory of meromorphic functions W. K. Hayman [3] made the following important conjecture. Suppose that F is a family of functions meromorphic in a domain D and that k is a positive integer. If $f(z) \neq 0$ and $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 1$ in D for all f in F, then F is normal in D. Recently Ku Yung-hsing [5] succeeded in proving this. The present paper contains a natural and direct proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. If k is a positive integer, f(z) is meromorphic in |z| < 1, and $f(z) \neq 0$, $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 1$ there, then either |f(z)| < 1 or |f(z)| > C uniformly in |z| < 1/32, where C is a positive constant which depends only on k.

Ku's result follows at once from Theorem 1. As another application we derive a result on the existence of a singular direction.

THEOREM 2. Let f(z) be a function meromorphic in the plane. If

$$\overline{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{(\log r)^3}} = \infty, \qquad (1)$$

then there is a number θ_0 such that $0 \le \theta_0 < 2\pi$ and for every positive ε and every positive integer k, either f(z) assumes every finite value infinitely often or $f^{(k)}(z)$ assumes every finite value except zero infinitely often in the angle $|\arg z - \theta_0| < \varepsilon$.

I am much indebted to Dr. I. N. Baker for his valuable suggestions.

2. Preliminary lemmas

LEMMA 1. Suppose that f(z) is meromorphic in |z| < R $(0 < R \le \infty)$. If $f(0) \neq 0, \infty, f^{(k)}(0) \neq 1$ and $f^{(k+1)}(0) \neq 0$, then we have

$$T(r, f) < \bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f)$$

for 0 < r < R, where

$$S(r, f) = m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + \log\left|\frac{f(0)\{f^{(k)}(0) - 1\}}{f^{(k+1)}(0)}\right| + \log 2.$$
(2)

Received 10 March, 1981.

[J. LONDON MATH. SOC. (2), 25 (1982), 288-296]

Lemma 1 is substantially due to H. Milloux (see for example [1, 2]), but there is some difference in the error term S(r, f). In fact the identity

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} - \frac{(f^{(k)} - 1)}{f^{(k+1)}} \cdot \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f}$$

leads to

$$m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \leqslant m\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}-1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f}\right) + \log 2.$$
(3)

Applying the Jensen–Nevaniinna formula to m(r, 1/f) and $m(r, (f^{(k)}-1)/f^{(k+1)})$, we obtain from (3) that

$$T(r, f) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)} - 1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f),$$

where S(r, f) is given by (2). Since

$$N\left(r,\frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{f^{(k)}-1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) = \bar{N}(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$$

holds, the assertion of the lemma follows.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that k is a positive integer and that f(z) is a function meromorphic in |z| < R ($0 < R \le \infty$) and such that $f(0) \ne 0, \infty$, $f^{(k)}(0) \ne 1$, $f^{(k+1)}(0) \ne 0$ and

$$(k+1)f^{(k+2)}(0)\left\{f^{(k)}(0)-1\right\}-(k+2)\left\{f^{(k+1)}(0)\right\}^2\neq 0.$$

Then we have

$$T(r,f) < \left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right) N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \left(2 + \frac{2}{k}\right) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\tag{4}$$

for 0 < r < R, where

$$S(r, f) = \left(2 + \frac{2}{k}\right) m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + \left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right) \left\{m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)\right\}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{k} m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+2)}}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + 4 + \left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right) \log\left|\frac{f(0)\{f^{(k)}(0) - 1\}}{f^{(k+1)}(0)}\right|$$
$$+ \frac{1}{k} \log\left|\frac{f^{(k+1)}(0)\{f^{(k)}(0) - 1\}}{(k+1)f^{(k+2)}(0)\{f^{(k)}(0) - 1\} - (k+2)\{f^{(k+1)}(0)\}^2}\right|.$$
(5)

Lemma 2 is Theorem 1 of Hayman [1] except for a slight improvement in the expression for S(r, f), which is important for our applications. The proof is exactly that of [1], except for observing that the quantity there denoted by $S_1(r)$ can be expressed by our Lemma 1 in the form (2) instead of the form used by Hayman.

LEMMA 3 (Hiong King-lai [4]). Suppose that f(z) is meromorphic in |z| < R($0 < R \le \infty$) and that k is a positive integer. If $f(0) \neq 0, \infty$ then we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) < C\left(1 + \log^{+}\rho + \log^{+}\frac{1}{r} + \log^{+}\frac{1}{\rho - r} + \log^{+}\log^{+}\frac{1}{|f(0)|} + \log^{+}T(\rho, f)\right)$$

for $0 < r < \rho < R$, where C is a positive constant which depends only on k.

LEMMA 4 [6; pp. 24–25]. Suppose that U(r) is a non-negative and non-decreasing function in the interval $[R_1, R_2]$ ($0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$), and that a and b are positive constants satisfying $b > (a+2)^2$. If the inequality

$$U(r) < a\left(\log^+ U(\rho) + \log\frac{\rho}{\rho - r}\right) + b$$

holds for every pair of r, ρ ($R_1 < r < \rho < R_2$), then we have

$$U(r) < 2a \log \left(\frac{R}{R-r} \right) + 2b$$

Notation. Throughout the paper C will denote a positive constant which depends at most on the integer k. It will not necessarily be the same constant throughout the course of the argument.

LEMMA 5. Suppose that f satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2 and suppose that in addition $f(z) \neq 0$, $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 1$ in |z| < R. Then we have

$$\log M\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) < C \frac{R}{R-r} \left(1 + B + \log^+ \frac{R}{R-r}\right),$$

for 0 < r < R, where

$$B = \log^{+} R + \log^{+} \frac{1}{R} + \log^{+} |f(0)| + \log^{+} |f^{(k)}(0)| + \log^{+} \frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + \log^{+} \frac{1}{|(k+1)f^{(k+2)}(0)\{f^{(k)}(0)-1\} - (k+2)\{f^{(k+1)}(0)\}^{2}|}.$$
(6)

Proof. In this case T(r, f) < S(r, f) in (4), (5). We estimate the terms of (5). Choosing ρ' and ρ such that $0 < r < \rho' = (\rho + r)/2 < \rho < R$, Nevanlinna's estimate (see for example [2; p. 36]) gives

$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) < C\left\{1 + \log^{+}\rho' + \log^{+}\frac{1}{r} + \log^{+}\frac{1}{\rho'-r} + \log^{+}\log^{+}\frac{1}{|f^{(k)}(0)-1|} + \log^{+}T\left(\rho', f^{(k)}\right)\right\}$$
(7)

and

$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+2)}}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) < C\left\{1 + \log^{+}\rho' + \log^{+}\frac{1}{r} + \log^{+}\frac{1}{\rho' - r} + \log^{+}\log^{+}\log^{+}\frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + \log^{+}T(\rho', f^{(k+1)})\right\}.$$
(8)

(The usual estimate would give (7) with $\log^+ T(\rho', f^{(k)} - 1)$ as its last term, but $|T(\rho', f^{(k)} - 1) - T(\rho', f^{(k)})| \le \log 2$.)

For the terms $\log^+ T(\rho', f^{(j)})$ (j = k, k+1), which appear in (7) and (8) we have

$$\log^{+} T(\rho', f^{(j)}) \leq \log^{+} \{ (j+1)T(\rho', f) + m(\rho', f^{(j)}/f) \}$$

$$< \log^{+} T(\rho', f) + m(\rho', f^{(j)}/f) + C.$$

Thus from (4), (5), (7) and (8) we have

$$T(r, f) < C \left\{ 1 + \log^{+} \rho' + \log^{+} \frac{1}{r} + \log^{+} \frac{1}{\rho' - r} + \log^{+} T(\rho', f) + \log^{+} \log^{+} \frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} \right\} + \log^{+} \log^{+} \frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + \left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right) \log|f(0)| + \left(2 + \frac{2}{k}\right) \log|f^{(k)}(0) - 1| + 2\log\frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + \frac{1}{k}\log\frac{1}{|(k+1)f^{(k+2)}(0)\{f^{(k)}(0) - 1\} - (k+2)\{f^{(k+1)}(0)\}^{2}|} + C \left\{m\left(\rho', \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + m\left(\rho', \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f}\right)\right\}.$$
(9)

We apply Lemma 3 to the last two terms of (9) with the r, ρ of Lemma 3 equal to ρ' and ρ respectively. Noting the relations between r, ρ' , ρ and R we have in the case when $R/2 < r < \rho < R$ that

$$T\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) < C\left\{1 + \log^{+} R + \log^{+} \frac{1}{R} + \log^{+} \frac{1}{\rho - r} + \log^{+} \log^{+} \frac{1}{|f(0)|} + \log^{+} \log^{+} \frac{1}{|f^{(k)}(0) - 1|} + \log^{+} \log^{+} \frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + \log^{+} T(\rho, f)\right\} + \left(2 + \frac{1}{k}\right) \log|f(0)| + \left(2 + \frac{2}{k}\right) \log|f^{(k)}(0) - 1| + 2\log\frac{1}{|f^{(k+1)}(0)|} + \frac{1}{k}\log\frac{1}{|(k+1)f^{(k+2)}(0)\{f^{(k)}(0) - 1\} - (k+2)\{f^{(k+1)}(0)\}^{2}|}}.$$
 (10)

For $\beta > 0, 0 < x < \infty$ we have

$$\beta \log x + C \log^+ \log^+ (1/x) < \beta \log^+ x + C$$

Applying this with x = |f(0)| and $x = |f^{(k)}(0) - 1|$, assuming that $R/2 < r < \rho < R$ (so that $\log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - r} \le \log \frac{\rho}{\rho - r} + \log^+ \frac{2}{R}$) and noting that

 $\log^+ T(\rho, f) = \log^+ \left\{ T(\rho, 1/f) + \log |f(0)| \right\} \le \log^+ T(\rho, 1/f) + \log^+ |f(0)| + 1,$

(10) yields that

$$T\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) < C_1(1+B) + C_2\left\{\log\frac{\rho}{\rho-r} + \log^+ T\left(\rho,\frac{1}{f}\right)\right\},\tag{11}$$

where B is given by (6). Increasing C_1 so that $C_1 > (C_2+2)^2$, we can then apply Lemma 4 to T(r, 1/f) and deduce that

$$T(r, 1/f) < C\left(1 + B + \log\left(\frac{R}{R-r}\right)\right), \quad (R/2 < r < R).$$
 (12)

For any r such that 0 < r < R we have

$$\log M\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) \leqslant \frac{R+3r}{R-r} T\left(\frac{r+R}{2},\frac{1}{f}\right)$$

and by using (12) the proof of the lemma follows.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that f satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. The conclusions will hold with C = 1 unless there are points z', z'' such that $|f(z')| \ge 1$, $|f(z'')| \le 1$, |z'| < 1/32, |z''| < 1/32, and thus by continuity a point z_1 such that

$$|f(z_1)| = 1, \quad |z_1| < 1/32.$$
 (13)

We assume that (13) holds and show that |f(z)| > C uniformly in |z| < 1/32. There are two mutually exclusive cases.

Case A. One has

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} |f^{(j)}(z)| \ge 1/4 \quad \text{uniformly in } |z| < 1/8$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{|f|} \leq 4 \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \left| \frac{f^{(j)}}{f} \right| \qquad (|z| < 1/8) \,,$$

and so if $m(r, z_1, f)$ and $T(r, z_1, f)$ denote $m(r, f(z+z_1))$ and $T(r, f(z+z_1))$ respectively, we have

$$m\left(r, z_1, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} m\left(r, z_1, \frac{f^{(j)}}{f}\right) + \log 4(k+2) \qquad (0 < r < 3/32).$$
(14)

Since $N(r, z_1, 1/f) = 0$, applying Lemma 3 to $f(z+z_1)$ yields in (14)

$$T\left(r, z_1, \frac{1}{f}\right) = m\left(r, z_1, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leqslant C\left(1 + \log^+ \frac{1}{\rho - r} + \log^+ T(\rho, z_1, f)\right)$$

for $1/32 < r < \rho < 3/32$. On using Jensen's theorem and noting that $|f(z_1)| = 1$, the last term on the right can be replaced by $\log^+ T(\rho, z_1, 1/f)$. On noting that

$$\log^+(1/(\rho-r)) \le \log(\rho/(\rho-r)) + \log 32$$

and that C is arbitrary, we can apply Lemma 4 to $T(r, z_1, 1/f)$ in [1/32, 3/32] and obtain

$$T\left(r, z_1, \frac{1}{f}\right) < C\left(1 + \log \frac{3/32}{(3/32) - r}\right),$$

whence $T(5/64, z_1, 1/f) < C$, and

 $\log M(1/32, 1/f) \le \log M(1/16, z_1, 1/f) \le 9T(5/64, z_1, 1/f) < C.$

Case B. There is a point z_2 such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} |f^{(j)}(z_2)| < 1/4, \qquad |z_2| < 1/8.$$
(15)

We assert that there exists a point z_0 on the segment $\overline{z_2 z_1}$ such that

$$|f^{(k+2)}(z_0)| \ge 1, \ 1/12 < |f^{(k+1)}(z_0)| < 1/2, \ |f^{(k)}(z_0)| < 1/2, \ |f(z_0)| < 1/2.$$
 (16)

(This technique was also used in our earlier paper [8].)

In fact if $|f^{(k+1)}(z)| < 1/4$ on $\overline{z_1 z_2}$ the inequality (15) leads to

$$|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq |f^{(k)}(z_2)| + \left| \int_{\frac{z_2 z}{z_1 - z_1}} f^{(k+1)}(t) dt \right| < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} |z_2 - z| < \frac{1}{3},$$

and so successively to

$$|f^{(j)}(z)| < 1/3, \quad j = k-1, k-2, ..., 1, 0;$$

the last of these contradicts the fact that $|f(z_1)| = 1$. Thus there is a point z_3 on $\overline{z_2 z_1}$

such that $|f^{(k+1)}(z_3)| = 1/4$ and $|f^{(k+1)}(z)| < 1/4$ on $\overline{z_2 z_3}$. Clearly

$$|f^{(k)}(z_3)| \leq |f^{(k)}(z_2)| + \left| \int_{\overline{z_2}\overline{z_3}} f^{(k+1)}(t) dt \right| < 1/3,$$

and by similar arguments

$$|f^{(j)}(z_3)| < 1/3, \quad j = k-1, ..., 1, 0$$

If $|f^{(k+2)}(z_3)| \ge 1$, we may take z_3 to be the z_0 in (16). If $|f^{(k+2)}(z_3)| < 1$, we note that if $|f^{(k+2)}(z)| < 1$ on $\overline{z_3 z_1}$, then on $\overline{z_2 z_1}$

$$|f^{(k+1)}(z)| < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{32} < \frac{1}{2},$$

and so

$$|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq |f^{(k)}(z_2)| + |z_2 - z_1| \operatorname{Max} |f^{(k+1)}(z)| < 1/3$$

We then obtain $|f^{(j)}(z)| < 1/3$ on $\overline{z_1 z_2}$ for j = 0, 1, ..., k, which contradicts the fact that $|f(z_1)| = 1$. Then there is a point z_4 on $\overline{z_3 z_1}$ such that $|f^{(k+2)}(z_4)| = 1$ and $|f^{(k+2)}(z)| < 1$ on $\overline{z_3 z_4}$. Since $|z_3 - z_4| < |z_1 - z_2| \le (1/32) + (1/8) = 5/32$, we have, for every point of $\overline{z_3 z_4}$,

$$|f^{(k+1)}(z)| \ge |f^{(k+1)}(z_3)| - |z_3 - z_4| \max_{\overline{z}_3 \overline{z}_4} |f^{(k+2)}| > 1/12,$$

$$|f^{(k+1)}(z)| \le |f^{(k+1)}(z_3)| + |z_3 - z_4| \max_{\overline{z}_3 \overline{z}_4} |f^{(k+2)}| < 1/2.$$

Thus

$$|f^{(k)}(z_4)| \leq |f^{(k)}(z_3)| + |z_3 - z_4| \max_{z_3 z_4} |f^{(k+1)}| < 1/2,$$

and similarly

$$|f^{(j)}(z_4)| < 1/2, \qquad j = 0, 1, ..., k-1.$$

Thus in this case we may choose $z_0 = z_4$ in (16) and the validity of (16) has been established in all cases.

We now apply Lemma 5 to f(z) in $|z-z_0| < 7/8$. The only condition which needs checking follows from (16):

$$|(k+1)f^{(k+2)}(z_0)\{f^{(k)}(z_0)-1\}-(k+2)\{f^{(k+1)}(z_0)\}^2| > \frac{k+1}{2}-\frac{k+2}{4} \ge \frac{1}{4}.$$

From Lemma 5 we see that

$$\log M(1/2, z_0, 1/f) < C$$
,

and hence

$$\log M(1/32, 1/f) < \log M(1/2, z_0, 1/f) < C$$
.

Remark. One may ask why we do not start our work from Hayman's inequality. If we do so and note that the unique difference between Hayman's inequality and

Lemma 2 is the appearance of $m(r, f^{(k+1)}/f^{(k)})$ in the former and $m(r, f^{(k+1)}/f)$ in the latter, then a lemma which is analogous to Lemma 5 except in having B replaced by

$$B' = B + C \log^{+} \log^{+} \left(\frac{1}{|f^{(k)}(0)|} \right)$$

can be obtained. In order to eliminate the "initial values", we have to find a point z_0 satisfying all the conditions in (16) and $|f^{(k)}(z_0)| > C$. It seems to me that this is impossible. Ku [5] established three lemmas to estimate $m(r, f^{(k+1)}/f^{(k)})$ in which the initial values are

$$\log^{+}\log^{+}|f(0)| + \log^{+}\log^{+}\left(1/|f(0)|\right) + \log^{+}\log^{+}|f(\zeta_{0})| + \log^{+}\log^{+}\left(1/|f^{(k)}(\zeta_{0})|\right),$$

where ζ_0 is another point. His proof is ingenious, but not natural.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

According to a result of Valiron [7], if f(z) satisfies (1), then there exists a sequence of discs

 $G_j: |z-z_j| < \varepsilon_j |z_j|, \qquad \lim_{j \to \infty} |z_j| = \infty, \qquad \lim_{j \to \infty} \varepsilon_j = 0,$

such that f(z) takes every complex value n_j times in G_j , with the exception of some values contained in two spherical circles with radius e^{-n_j} provided that $\lim_{j \to \infty} (n_j/\log |z_j|) = \infty$.

Denote by θ_0 an accumulation point of $(\arg z_j, j = 1, 2, ...)$. It is no loss in generality to suppose that $\arg z_j \rightarrow \theta_0$ $(j \rightarrow \infty)$. We shall prove that the ray $\arg z = \theta_0$ has the desired property of Theorem 2.

In fact, if it is not true, then there exist a positive number ε , a positive integer k and two finite values a, b ($b \neq 0$) such that $f(z) \neq a$, $f^{(k)}(z) \neq b$ in the angle $|\arg z - \theta_0| < \varepsilon$.

When j is sufficiently large, the discs

$$G'_j: |z-z_j| < 32 \varepsilon_j |z_j|$$

are contained in $|\arg z - \theta_0| < \varepsilon$. For every fixed j, the function

$$g_j(t) = \frac{f(z_j + 32\varepsilon_j|z_j|t) - a}{b(32\varepsilon_j|z_j|)^k}$$

is meromorphic in |t| < 1 and $g_j(t) \neq 0$, $g_j^{(k)}(t) \neq 1$ there. Theorem 1 yields that either $|g_j(t)| < 1$ or $|g_j(t)| > C$ in |t| < 1/32.

(1) Suppose that $|g_j(t)| < 1$ uniformly in |t| < 1/32, that is,

$$|f(z)| < |a| + |b|(32\varepsilon_j|z_j|)^k < |z_j|^{k+1}$$

uniformly in G_i , when j is sufficiently large.

Since the spherical distance between $|z_i|^{k+1}$ and ∞ is

$$1/(1+|z_i|^{2(k+1)})^{1/2} > 1/2|z_i|^{k+1}$$

the image of G_j under w = f(z) lies outside the set D of these points w' such that the spherical distance $|w', \infty|$ is less than $(2|z_j|^{k+1})^{-1}$. On the other hand, the image of G_j under w = f(z) covers $|w| < \infty$, apart from two spherical circles with radius e^{-n_j} , where $\lim_{j \to \infty} (n_j/\log |z_j|) = \infty$. Putting $n_j = m_j \log |z_j|$, we have $\lim_{j \to \infty} m_j = \infty$. Thus the values which are not taken by f(z) in G_j can be contained in two spherical circles with radius with radius

$$e^{-n_j} = e^{-m_j \log |z_j|} = 1/|z_j|^{m_j}$$

Clearly these two circles cannot cover the spherical circles $|w, \infty| < 1/2|z_j|^{k+1}$ and so we derive a contradiction.

(2) Suppose that $|g_i(t)| > C$ uniformly in |t| < 1/32.

Now we can suppose that $\varepsilon_j |z_j| > 1$ $(j \to \infty)$, for otherwise we can choose $\varepsilon'_j = \max(\varepsilon_j, 2/|z_j|)$ and replace the discs G_j by the larger discs $|z - z_j| < \varepsilon'_j |z_j|$, which satisfy the same conditions. Thus in |z| < 1/32 we have

$$|f(z)-a| > C|b|(32\varepsilon_i|z_i|)^k > (32)^k|b|C$$

Thus the image of G_j under w = f(z) is entirely disjoint from the fixed disc $|w-a| \leq C$. But for large j this disc is not contained in any two spherical circles of radius e^{-n_j} . Thus we have a contradiction and Theorem 2 has been proved.

References

- 1. W. K. Hayman, "Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives", Ann. of Math., 70 (1959), 9-42.
- 2. W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1964).
- 3. W. K. Hayman, Research problems in function theory (Athlone, 1967).
- Hiong King-lai, "Sur les fonctions holomorphes dont les dérivées admettent une valeur exceptionnelle", Ann. Ecole Normale Sup. (3), 72 (1955), 165–197.
- 5. Ku Yung-hsing, "Un critère de normalité des familles de fonctions meromorphes" (Chinese), Sci. Sinica, special issue (1) (1979), 267-274.
- 6. H. Milloux, Les fonctions méromorphes et leurs dérivées, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles 888 (Hermann, Paris, 1940).
- 7. G. Valiron, Directions de Borel des fonctions méromorphes, Mém. Sci. Math. 89 (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1938).
- Yang Lo and Chang Kuan-heo, "Recherches sur la normalité des familles de fonctions analytiques à des valeurs multiples", Sci. Sinica, 15 (1966), 433-453.

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Peking, China.